

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE**

FAN WANG and HANG GAO, Individually
and on Behalf of All Others Similarly
Situating,

Plaintiff,

v.

ATHIRA PHARMA, INC., a Delaware
Corporation, and LEEN KAWAS,

Defendants.

Case No.:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL
SECURITIES LAWS**

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiffs Fan Wang and Hang Gao (“Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their attorneys, allege upon personal knowledge as to their own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, based upon the investigation conducted by and through their attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of documents filed by Defendants (as defined below) with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), news reports, press releases issued by Defendants, and other publicly available documents as follows:

NATURE AND SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1
2 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of all investors who purchased or
3 otherwise acquired Athira Pharma, Inc. (“Athira” or the “Company”) securities between
4 September 18, 2020 and June 17, 2021, inclusive (the “Class Period”). This action is brought on
5 behalf of the Class for violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of
6 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated
7 thereunder by the SEC, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.
8

9 2. Athira is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on developing small
10 molecules to restore neuronal health and stop neurodegeneration for those suffering from
11 devastating neurological diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease. The Company’s product
12 candidates aim to provide rapid cognitive improvement and alter the course of neurological
13 diseases with their novel mechanism of action.
14

15 3. On June 17, 2021, after the market closed, Athira issued a press release announcing
16 that the Company’s Board of Directors had placed Leen Kawas, Athira’s President and Chief
17 Executive Officer, on temporary leave pending a review of actions stemming from doctoral
18 research Kawas conducted while at Washington State University.
19

20 4. An article published in *STAT News* later that day revealed that the investigation of
21 Kawas relates to allegations that she altered images in four separate papers relating to her research
22 on hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), a protein with the potential to treat Alzheimer’s disease and
23 other neurological disorders. The article noted that although Athira “has since moved on to a
24 different molecule than the one Kawas was working on, it still aims to target HGF. And so Kawas’
25 doctoral work laid the biological groundwork that Athira continues to use in their approach to
26 treating Alzheimer’s.”
27
28

7. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and misleading statements and omitted to material adverse facts regarding the Company's business. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to investors: (1) that the research conducted by Kawas, which formed the foundation for Athira's product candidates and intellectual property, was tainted by Kawas' scientific misconduct, including the manipulation of key data; and (2) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business, operations, and prospects were materially misleading and omitted material facts necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading.

8. The federal law claims asserted herein arise under §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC, 17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5, as well as under the common law.

10. This Court has jurisdiction over each Defendant named herein because each Defendant is an individual or corporation who has sufficient minimum contacts with this District

1 so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the District Court permissible under traditional
2 notions of fair play and substantial justice.

3 11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to § 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.
4 § 78aa and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Defendants maintain their principal office in this District,
5 and many of the acts and omissions complained of herein occurred in substantial part in this
6 District.

7
8 12. In connection with the acts, omissions, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this
9 Complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate
10 commerce, including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate telephone
11 communications and the facilities of a national securities exchange.

12 **PARTIES**

13
14 13. Plaintiffs Fan Wang and Hang Gao, as set forth in the attached Certifications,
15 acquired and held shares of Athira at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period, and have
16 been damaged by the revelation of the Company's material misrepresentations and material
17 omissions.

18
19 14. Defendant Athira is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices located
20 at 18706 North Creek Parkway, Suite 104, Bothell, Washington 98011. Athira's common stock
21 trades on the NASDAQ Stock Market ("NASDAQ") under the ticker symbol "ATHA."

22 15. Defendant Leen Kawas, Ph.D. ("Kawas") has served as Athira's President, Chief
23 Executive Officer and a member of the Company's Board of Directors at all relevant times. On
24 June 17, 2021, the Company announced that Kawas had been placed on temporary leave pending
25 an investigation by the Board of Directors, but would remain a Director of the Company.
26
27
28

17. Athira describes itself as a late clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on developing small molecules to restore neuronal health and stop neurodegeneration for those suffering from devastating neurological diseases, including Alzheimer's disease. The Company's product candidates aim to provide rapid cognitive improvement and alter the course of neurological diseases with their novel mechanism of action.

MATERIALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS

18. On September 18, 2020, Athira filed its Prospectus Supplement on Form 424B4 with the SEC. The Company emphasized the central role of HGF—the focus of Kawas’ doctoral research—in Athira’s product candidates:

We are a late clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on developing small molecules to restore neuronal health and stop neurodegeneration. With our product candidates, we aim to provide rapid cognitive improvement and alter the course of neurological diseases, with our novel mechanism of action. ***Our approach is designed to augment neuronal growth factor signaling through the HGF/MET, a naturally occurring regenerative system. We believe enhancing HGF/MET signaling has the potential to protect existing neurons from damage, reduce inflammation, promote regeneration, and positively modulate brain activity.*** We anticipate that all of these characteristics may improve neuronal health and translate into clinical benefits. ***Our pipeline is built from our proprietary drug discovery platform, or ATH platform, and consists of a series of small molecules that are designed to target either (1) the central nervous system, or CNS, by crossing the blood brain barrier, or BBB, or (2) the peripheral nervous system. Our lead candidate, ATH-1017, is a subcutaneous administered, BBB-penetrating, small molecule HGF/MET activator. . . .***

* * *

We are pioneering the use of small molecules that are designed to promote the hepatocyte growth factor/MET, or HGF/MET, a naturally occurring regenerative system, in neurological disorders. While discovered in the liver, HGF is a critical growth factor across multiple organs, including in the brain. HGF/MET has long been known as a promising therapeutic target for CNS disorders, however, delivery of large proteins or gene therapy to the CNS to augment HGF/MET is challenging due to the invasive methods needed for them to bypass the BBB and the risk of potential adverse immune response. Our novel BBB-penetrating small molecules are designed to overcome many of these hurdles, allowing us to efficiently tap into the regenerative potential of HGF/MET. For therapeutic applications in CNS disorders, particularly AD, treatments that target neuronal growth factors can potentially accomplish several therapeutic goals, including rapid cognitive improvement and sustained neuroprotective effects.

(Emphasis added).

19. Athira also touted Kawas' key role in the Company's development strategy: "***Dr. Leen Kawas, our founder and chief executive officer, has been essential in creating our innovative translational development strategy.***"

20. Under the section titled "Intellectual Property," Athira told investors::

We own or have in-licensed numerous patents and patent applications and possess substantial know-how and trade secrets relating to the development and commercialization of our product candidates, including related manufacturing processes and technologies.

As of June 20, 2020, our patent portfolio consisted of nine owned or in-licensed U.S. issued patents, Our solely-owned and in-licensed patents and patent applications include, among others, claims directed to:

- ***ATH-1017 and related compounds;***
- ***methods of using ATH-1017;*** and
- ***methods of using related compounds***

We intend to pursue, when possible, further composition, method of use, dosing, formulation, and device patent protection directed to the neurogenerative products and processes we develop. We may also pursue patent protection with respect to manufacturing and drug development processes and technology.

(Emphasis added).

21. On November 12, 2020, Athira issued a press release announcing the Company's third quarter 2020 financial results. The press release provided in part:

"At Athira, we are continuing to execute on our mission to restore neuronal health for those suffering from neurological diseases, including Alzheimer's, and we have successfully begun enrollment in our Phase 2/3 clinical study, LIFT-AD, evaluating our lead product candidate ATH-1017 in individuals with mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease," said Leen Kawas, Ph.D., President and Chief Executive Officer at Athira. "Supported by a strong cash investment

1 from our Series B financing in June and our initial public offering
 2 in September, we remain focuses on advancing the development of
 ATH-1017 and our other pipeline programs.”

3 22. Also on November 12, 2020, Athira filed its third quarter 2020 financial results on
 4 Form 10-Q with SEC. Under the section entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of
 5 Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” Defendants stated:

6 We are a late clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on
 7 developing small molecules to restore neuronal health and stop
 8 neurodegeneration. With our product candidates, we aim to
 9 potentially provide cognitive improvement and alter the course of
 10 neurological diseases with our novel mechanism of action. ***Our***
 11 ***approach is designed to augment neuronal growth factor***
 12 ***signaling through the hepatocyte growth factor/MET, or***
 13 ***HGF/MET***, a naturally occurring regenerative system. ***We believe***
 14 ***enhancing HGF/MET signaling has the potential to protect***
 15 ***existing neurons from damage, reduce inflammation, promote***
 16 ***regeneration, and positively modulate brain activity.*** We anticipate
 that all of these characteristics may improve neuronal health and
 translate into clinical benefits. ***Our pipeline is built from our***
 17 ***proprietary drug discovery platform***, or ATH platform, and consists
 of a series of small molecules that are designed to target either (1)
 the central nervous system, or CNS, by crossing the blood brain
 barrier, or BBB, or (2) the peripheral nervous system.

18 * * *

19 We were incorporated in in March 2011 and ***since our inception,***
 20 ***we have devoted substantially all of our resources to our research***
 21 ***and development efforts such as small molecule compound***
 22 ***discovery***, nonclinical studies and clinical trials, as well as
 manufacturing activities, establishing and maintaining our
 intellectual property portfolio, hiring personnel, raising capital, and
 providing general and administrative support for these operations.
 23 We do not have any products approved for commercial sale, and we
 have not generated any revenues related to our products since
 inception. Our ability to generate product revenue sufficient to
 24 achieve profitability, if ever, will depend on the successful
 development of one or more of our product candidates which we
 expect will take several years.

25 (Emphasis added).
 26
 27
 28

23. On March 25, 2021, Athira issued a press release announcing its fourth quarter and fiscal 2020 financial results. The press release contained the following quote from Defendant Kawas:

“2020 was a momentous year for the Athira team, particularly as we initiated two trials evaluating ATH-1017. The goal of the ACT-AD and LIFT-AD studies is to demonstrate the clinical utility of this promising agent to treat Alzheimer’s disease and preserve cognitive health. Alzheimer’s disease is an area of immense medical need and we are actively enrolling patients with the aim of reporting data in 2022,” said Leen Kawas, Ph.D., President and Chief Executive Officer at Athira. ***“Our novel treatment approach is agnostic to the underlying disease pathology and focuses on network recovery and information transmission in the brain, which has the potential to improve clinical outcomes for patients.*** Our compounds have potential in a broad range of clinical applications, and we look forward to submitting INDs for our Parkinson’s disease dementia program as well as our neuropsychiatric program this year. With our recently completed follow-on public offering, our balance sheet places us in a strong position to execute on our goals.”

(Emphasis added).

24. That same day, Athira filed its fourth quarter and fiscal 2020 financial results on Form 10-K with the SEC. Under the section entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” Defendants stated:

We are a late clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on developing small molecules to restore neuronal health and stop neurodegeneration. With our product candidates, we aim to potentially provide cognitive improvement and alter the course of neurological diseases with our novel mechanism of action. ***Our approach is designed to augment neuronal growth factor signaling through the hepatocyte growth factor/MET, or HGF/MET,*** a naturally occurring regenerative system. ***We believe enhancing HGF/MET signaling has the potential to protect existing neurons from damage, reduce inflammation, promote regeneration, and positively modulate brain activity.*** We anticipate that all of these characteristics may improve neuronal health and translate into clinical benefits. ***Our pipeline is built from our proprietary drug discovery platform,*** or ATH platform, and consists of a series of small molecules that are designed to target either (1)

the central nervous system, or CNS, by crossing the blood brain barrier, or BBB, or (2) the peripheral nervous system.

* * *

We were incorporated in in March 2011 and *since our inception, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to our research and development efforts such as small molecule compound discovery*, nonclinical studies and clinical trials, as well as manufacturing activities, establishing and maintaining our intellectual property portfolio, hiring personnel, raising capital, and providing general and administrative support for these operations. We do not have any products approved for commercial sale, and we have not generated any revenues related to our products since inception. Our ability to generate product revenue sufficient to achieve profitability, if ever, will depend on the successful development of one or more of our product candidates which we expect will take a number of years.

(Emphasis added).

25. Under the section titled “Intellectual Property,” Athira told investors:

We own or have in-licensed numerous patents and patent applications and possess substantial know-how and trade secrets relating to the development and commercialization of our product candidates, including related manufacturing processes and technologies.

As of December 31, 2020, our patent portfolio consisted of eight owned or in-licensed U.S. issued patents, Our solely-owned and in-licensed patents and patent applications include, among others, claims directed to:

- *ATH-1017 and related compounds*, including but not limited to ATH-1019 and ATH-1020;
- *methods of using ATH-1017*; and
- *methods of using related compounds*, including but not limited to ATH-1019 and ATH-1020.

We intend to pursue, when possible, further composition, method of use, dosing, formulation, and device patent protection directed to the neurogenerative products and processes we develop. We may also pursue patent protection with respect to manufacturing and drug development processes and technology.

26. On May 13, 2021, Athira issued a press release announcing its first quarter 2021 financial results. The press release contained the following quote from Defendant Kawas:

“We continue to execute on our corporate and clinical goals during this very important time in Athira’s history,” said Leen Kawas, Ph.D., President and Chief Executive Officer at Athira. “Our clinical trials, ACT-AD and LIFT-AD, are actively enrolling and will evaluate the clinical utility of ATH-1017 to treat Alzheimer’s disease and improve cognitive health. In addition to developing ATH-1017 in Alzheimer’s disease, we plan to evaluate it in Parkinson’s disease dementia. ***We also remain committed to advancing our expanding pipeline of novel, small molecule compounds.*** We have a well-defined strategic plan ahead of us and are well-funded to reach multiple clinical and regulatory milestones.”

(Emphasis added).

27. That same day, Athira filed its first quarter 2021 financial results on Form 10-Q with the SEC. Under the section entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” Defendants stated:

We are a late clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on developing small molecules to restore neuronal health and stop neurodegeneration. With our product candidates, we aim to potentially provide cognitive improvement and alter the course of neurological diseases with our novel mechanism of action. ***Our approach is designed to augment neuronal growth factor signaling through the hepatocyte growth factor/MET, or HGF/MET,*** a naturally occurring regenerative system. ***We believe enhancing HGF/MET signaling has the potential to protect existing neurons from damage, reduce inflammation, promote regeneration, and positively modulate brain activity.*** We anticipate that all of these characteristics may improve neuronal health and translate into clinical benefits. ***Our pipeline is built from our proprietary drug discovery platform,*** or ATH platform, and consists of a series of small molecules that are designed to target either (1) the central nervous system, or CNS, by crossing the blood brain barrier, or BBB, or (2) the peripheral nervous system.

* * *

We were incorporated in March 2011 and *since our inception, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to our research and development efforts such as small molecule compound discovery*, nonclinical studies and clinical trials, as well as manufacturing activities, establishing and maintaining our intellectual property portfolio, hiring personnel, raising capital, and providing general and administrative support for these operations. We do not have any products approved for commercial sale, and we have not generated any revenues related to our products since inception. Our ability to generate product revenue sufficient to achieve profitability, if ever, will depend on the successful development of one or more of our product candidates which we expect will take a number of years.

(Emphasis added).

28. The statements identified in paragraphs 18–27 above were materially false and misleading and failed to disclose material facts about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects. As alleged herein, Defendants misled investors by misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose: (1) that the research conducted by Defendant Kawas, which formed the foundation for Athira’s product candidates and intellectual property, was tainted by Kawas’ scientific misconduct, including the manipulation of key data through the altering of Western blot images; and (2) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants’ positive statements about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects were materially misleading and omitted material facts necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading.

THE TRUTH EMERGES

29. On June 17, 2021, after the market closed, Athira issued a press release entitled, “Athira Pharma Chief Operating Officer, Mark Litton, Assumes Day-to-Day Leadership Responsibilities of Company[:] *Leen Kawas Place on Temporary Leave Pending Board Review of Actions Stemming from Doctoral Research While at Washington State University.*” Therein, the Company stated in relevant part:

Athira Pharma, Inc. (NASDAQ: ATHA) (“Athira”), a late clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on developing small molecules to restore neuronal health and stop neurodegeneration, today announced that Mark Litton, PhD, MBA, in his capacity as Chief Operating Officer, has assumed day-to-day leadership responsibilities for the Company, effective immediately.

This follows the Board’s determination to place Leen Kawas, PhD, President and Chief Executive Officer of Athira, on temporary leave pending a review of actions stemming from doctoral research Dr. Kawas conducted while at Washington State University. Dr. Kawas will remain on the Board. The Board has formed an independent special committee to undertake this review. The Company does not intend to comment further on this matter until the review is concluded.

30. Although Athira’s press release provided the vaguest of explanations for placing Kawas on leave, investigative journalist Olivia Goldhill published an article titled “Athira Pharma CEO placed on leave amid allegations of altered images in her research papers.” The article, published in *STAT News* on June 17, 2021, revealed the underlying allegations and the serious implications for Athira. The article stated:

The chief executive officer of Athira Pharma, a biotech developing treatments for Alzheimer’s and other neurodegenerative diseases, has been placed on temporary leave as her university investigates claims she published several papers containing altered images while she was a graduate student.

The Seattle-based company did not disclose the reasons for the investigation of Leen Kawas, but STAT has learned that it involves allegations of altered images in four separate papers on which Kawas is the lead author. Images of Western blots, used to determine the presence of specific proteins in biological samples, look as though they’ve been altered from their original state, according to two image experts who spoke with STAT.

Washington State University is investigating the claims after several of the images were flagged on PubPeer, a forum dedicated to discussing scientific research after publication, in recent weeks.

31. The *STAT News* article explained the significance of the allegations and the potential impact for Athira despite the fact that the alleged misconduct occurred years ago:

Although the papers are up to a decade old, dating back to when Kawas was a doctoral student, **the papers are foundational to Athira's efforts to treat Alzheimer's and are cited in a patent licensed by Athira.** Kawas, who co-founded Athira, is described as a co-inventor in the patent.

Athira is working to regrow neurons and strengthen synapses in the brain, based on a theory that doing so will alleviate the symptoms of the disease. The drugs under investigation by the company aim to achieve this by targeting hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), a protein present in the brain that stimulates the growth of cells, along with its receptor MET. Kawas' papers established that a particular molecule affects the activity of HGF.

Although the company . . . has since moved on to a different molecule than the one Kawas was working on, it still aims to target HGF. And so **Kawas' doctoral work laid the biological groundwork that Athira continues to use in their approach to treating Alzheimer's,** neuroscientist George Perry of the University of Texas at San Antonio, told *STAT*: **"They are the foundational basic science."**

32. The *STAT News* article further expounded on the specifics of the allegations, and spoke with multiple experts who dismissed the possibility that the altered images might have been the result of error or careless work:

In all four papers led by Kawas, Western blots are surrounded by faint lines. "These lines suggest that some parts of the photo might have been derived from elsewhere, and that this was not the blot as it was originally obtained," said Elisabeth Bik, a microbiologist and science consultant who focuses on image authenticity.

In eight different images in four different papers, the same Western blot bands seemingly appear repeatedly. "That's highly unlikely that came about accidentally," said Paul Brookes, professor at the University of Rochester Medical Center, who has also worked on exposing scientific errors. . . .

In two instances, the same image seems to be used to show the results of two different experiments published in different papers.

1 And in a 2011 paper in the Journal of Pharmacology and
 2 Experimental Therapeutics, the same series of Western blot bands is
 3 seemingly used twice to represent two different proteins, and is
 4 stretched out for one of the proteins.

5 “That’s even more potentially problematic,” said Bik. Such an
 6 inaccuracy is potentially reason to retract the paper, she said. “That’s
 7 very misleading.”

8 * * *

9 Washington State University, where Kawas conducted her research,
 10 said it had begun an inquiry into the images. “Washington State
 11 University takes claims of research misconduct very seriously,”
 12 spokesperson Phil Weiler said in an email. . . .

13 **The allegedly altered images call into question the validity of the
 14 entire studies, said several Alzheimer’s experts.** If the Western
 15 blots are inaccurate, then the whole study must be redone, said
 16 Perry. The images are an important method of determining how the
 17 compound interacts with HGF. **“If there is a question about key
 18 data, all must be questioned,”** he said.

19 * * *

20 Regardless of the reason, though, the results are inherently
 21 misleading, said Samuel Gandy, Mount Sinai Professor of
 22 Alzheimer’s Disease Research at the Icahn School of Medicine. “It
 23 is not acceptable to mischaracterize a piece of data even if the
 24 purpose is merely aesthetics and if the bottom line is still correct.”
 25 **Without a full review of the data behind the research, it’s
 26 impossible to determine whether the overall results would
 27 remain unchanged.**

28 33. The *STAT News* article also spoke with legal experts concerning potential securities
 fraud liability as a result of Kawas’ misconduct:

The 2011 paper is one of several Kawas studies cited in a patent
 licensed to Athira, which explicitly credits her work with showing
 that a certain molecule affects HGF.

This could make the company liable for securities fraud, said Jorge
 Contreras, professor of law and human genetics at the University of
 Utah: “The standard for securities fraud is not that high, and it could
 be triggered by reckless behavior as well as intentional deception. It

1 seems entirely likely someone could be found liable and prosecuted
2 and found guilty for this kind of activity.”

3 The patent based on research containing allegedly altered images is
4 a potential securities fraud liability for the company, regardless of
5 whether it is still researching that particular molecule. “The fraud
6 involves the patent, not the drug, and because a patent is a valuable
7 corporate asset, making a misrepresentation regarding the facts
8 underlying the patent could qualify as fraud,” said Contreras.

9 34. Paul Matteis, a securities analyst at Stifel, wrote in a note: “We don’t really know
10 how to process this development.” Matteis underscored the fact that “**The scientific hypothesis
11 behind Athira came out of the work [that] Dr. Kawas did in graduate school so there is risk
12 here that whatever comes out of this investigation could have clear negative implications for
13 how we/investors view the asset, and/or management credibility.**”

14 35. On this news, the price of Athira shares fell \$7.09 per share, or nearly 39%, to close
15 at \$11.15 per share on June 18, 2021, on unusually heavy trading volume.

16 CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17 36. Plaintiffs bring this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil
18 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and entities who purchased
19 or otherwise acquired Athira securities between September 18, 2020 and June 17, 2021, inclusive,
20 and who were damaged thereby (the “Class”). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers
21 and directors of Athira, as well as their families and affiliates.

22 37. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
23 impracticable. The disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to
24 the parties and the Court. Throughout the Class Period, Athira’s shares were actively traded on the
25 NASDAQ stock exchange. Although the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiffs
26 at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiffs believe that there
27
28

are at least hundreds, if not thousands of members in the proposed Class. Millions of Athira shares were publicly traded during the Class Period on the NASDAQ stock exchange. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by Athira or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.

38. There is a well-defined community of interests in the questions of law and fact involved in this case. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class which predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members include:

- a. Whether Defendants violated the Exchange Act;
- b. Whether Defendants omitted and/or misrepresented material facts;
- c. Whether Defendants' statements omitted material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;
- d. Whether Defendants knew or recklessly disregarded that their statements were false and misleading;
- e. Whether the price of Athira's securities was artificially inflated; and
- f. The extent of damage sustained by Class members and the appropriate measure of damages.

39. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the Class because Plaintiffs and the Class sustained damages from Defendants' wrongful conduct alleged herein.

40. Plaintiffs will adequately protect the interests of the Class and have retained counsel who are experienced in class action securities litigation. Plaintiffs have no interests that conflict with those of the Class.

NO SAFE HARBOR

44. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain conditions does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this Complaint. The specific statements pleaded herein were not identified as forward-looking statements when made.

45. To the extent there were any forward-looking statements, there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements.

LOSS CAUSATION

46. On June 17, 2021, after the market closed, Athira issued the press release announcing that Defendant Kawas had been placed on temporary leave pending Board review of the misconduct alleged herein. On this news, the price of Athira shares plummeted \$7.09 per share or nearly 39%, to close at \$11.15 per share on June 18, 2021, on unusually heavy trading volume.

47. The revelations in the press release and related news coverage contradicted statements made by Defendants during the Class Period and were a causal element of the concurrent decline in the Company's share price.

SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

48. As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter since Defendants knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and/or misleading and omitted to disclose material facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, Defendant Kawas, by virtue of her

possession of information reflecting the true facts regarding Athira, her control over and/or receipt and/or modification of Athira's allegedly materially misleading misstatements and/or her associations with the Company which made her privy to confidential proprietary information concerning Athira, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

COUNT I

Violations of § 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder (Against All Defendants)

49. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

50. During the Class Period, Defendants Athira and Kawas disseminated or approved the false statements specified above, which they knew or deliberately disregarded were misleading in that they contained misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

51. Defendants Athira and Kawas violated § 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 in that they: (i) employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon those who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities during the Class Period.

52. Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered damages in that, in reliance on the integrity of the market, they paid artificially inflated prices for the Company's securities. Plaintiffs and the Class would not have purchased the Company's securities at the price paid, or at all, if they had

been aware that the market prices had been artificially and falsely inflated by Defendants' misleading statements.

COUNT II
Violation of § 20(a) of the Exchange Act
(Against Kawas)

53. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

54. Defendant Kawas acted as a controlling person of the Company within the meaning of § 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of her high-level positions at the Company, Kawas had the power and authority to cause or prevent the Company from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein. Kawas was provided with or had unlimited access to the documents described above that contained statements alleged by Plaintiffs to be false or misleading both prior to and immediately after their publication, and had the ability to prevent the issuance of those materials or to cause them to be corrected so as not to be misleading.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief and judgment, as follows:

A. Determining that this action is a proper class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the Class as defined herein, and a certification of Plaintiffs as class representatives pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and appointment of Plaintiff's counsel as Lead Counsel;

B. Awarding compensatory and punitive damages in favor of Plaintiffs and the other Class members against all Defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon;

1 C. Awarding Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class their costs and expenses in
2 this litigation, including reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs and disbursements;
3 and

4 D. Awarding Plaintiffs and the other Class Members such other relief as this Court
5 may deem just and proper.
6

7 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

8 Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury in this action of all issues so triable.
9

10 Dated: June 25, 2021

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC

11 By: /s/ Kim D. Stephens

12 By: /s/ Cecily C. Shiel

13 Kim D. Stephens, WSBA #11984

Cecily C. Shiel, WSBA #50061

14 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200

Seattle, WA 98101

15 Tel: (206) 682-5600/Fax: (206) 682-2992

Email: kstephens@tousley.com

cshiel@tousley.com

17 *Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs and*
18 *Proposed Lead Counsel*

19 **BLOCK & LEVITON LLP**

20 Jeffrey C. Block, *pro hac vice forthcoming*

Jacob A. Walker, *pro hac vice forthcoming*

21 Nathaniel Silver, *pro hac vice forthcoming*

22 260 Franklin Street, Suite 1860

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(617) 398-5600 phone

23 (617) 507-6020 fax

24 jeff@blockleviton.com

jake@blockleviton.com

25 nate@blockleviton.com

26 *Counsel for Plaintiffs and*
27 *Proposed Lead Counsel for the Class*
28